

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,465	12/03/2001	Thomas Eckel	Mo-6623/LeA 34,860	2780
157 7	590 04/20/2005		EXAM	INER
BAYER MATERIAL SCIENCE LLC 100 BAYER ROAD			BUTTNER, DAVID J	
PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
•		·	DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/007,465	ECKEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Buttner	1712
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cication.  14 sys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the cory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>3/7/05</i> .	
· _ ·	)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	r allowance except for formal ma	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3.4.6-11.13.16 and 19-22 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3.4.6-11.13.16 and 19-22 is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.  are rejected.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Evaminor	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	n) accepted or b) objected to on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been the large (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    X   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   X   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	4) ☐ Interview I-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date

Applicant is advised that should claim 16 be found allowable, claim 19 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). The claims require the same redox initiator system. Note that claim 21 required the initiator system to be a redox system.

Page 2

Claims 3,4,6-11,13,16 and 19-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckel '404 in view of Ishii '141 or Ueda '428.

Eckel '404 produces an emulsion ABS of high graft yield (col 14 line 45) utilizing hydroperoxide and ascorbic acid (col 14 line 13,18). This results in a blend of SAN grafted to rubber and minor amounts of free SAN (resulting in a large Z ratio).. The mixture is then blended with PC (#2,11-13). The PC is based on bisphenol A and another bisphenol (col 13 line 48). Eckel (col 13 line 16) suggests flame retardants but does not name any species.

Bisphenol A based oligophoshates are well known flame retardants for PC compositions (see abstract and tables 1-6 of Ueda; examples 1,5,6 of Ishii). It would have been obvious to add any phosphate flame retardant to Eckel's composition for the expected result. Presumably the impact strength requirement is met by the proposed composition because the same materials in the same amounts are used. Also note Ishii (col 6 line 22-30) and Ueda (examples vs. comparisons) show the desirability of bisphenol A based oligophosphates over other phosphates.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,465

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 3,4,6-11,13,16 and 19-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DE19914139.

Eckel '301 is relied on as a translation of DE19914139.

Eckel exemplifies (#2-6) blends of PC, 10.6g of ABS, 9.3g of SAN, bisphenol A based oligophosphate and PTFE. The ABS is produced by polymerizing 40 parts styrene and acrylonitrile on 60 parts of rubber using a redox initiator system. Eckel does not report the amount of styrene and acrylonitrile that actually grafts to the rubber. However, it is known that this grafting technique inherently produces a high grafting yield (see col 4 line 36-45 of Wittmann). Assuming at least a 85% yield, the ABS used in Eckel's examples would consist of 6.36g of polybutadiene, 3.6g of SAN attached to the rubber and 0.64g of unattached SAN. This would result in a Z ratio of at least (6.36 + 3.6)/(0.64 + 9.3) =1.002. Also note Eckel claims component C) (ie SAN) need not be present. This would result in an even larger Z ratio.

Claims 3,4,6-11,13,16 and 19 -22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE19914139 Patent in view of Witmann '285.

Eckel '301 is relied on as a translation of DE19914139.

Eckel exemplifies (#2-6) blends of PC, ABS, SAN, bisphenol A based oligophosphate and PTFE. The ABS is produced by polymerizing 40 parts styrene and acrylonitrile on 60 parts of rubber using a redox initiator system. The polymerization (col 7 line 18) is carried out according to Eckel US4937285. Witmann '285 teaches that this grafting technique should produce a high grafting yield (eg 89% col 13 line 9).

Art Unit: 1712

Assuming a 89% yield, the ABS used Eckel's examples would consist of 6.36g of polybutadiene, 3.77g of SAN attached to the rubber and 0.47g of unattached SAN. This would result in a Z ratio of (6.36 + 3.77)/(0.47 + 9.3) = 1.04. It would have been obvious to ensure Eckel's graft has a high yield in accordance with Witmann's teachings.

Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the declaration of 3/7/05 shows the superiority of bisphenol based oligophosphates over other phosphates.

This is not convincing because the newly applied rejections exemplify the use of such phosphates and clearly teach advantages for doing so.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is 571-272-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on wekdays from 10 to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/007,465

Art Unit: 1712

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 5

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

**DButtner** 4/14/05

David Distre